

Docket No.: 239611US2SRD

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/607,000

Applicants: Naohiko OYASATO, et al.

Filing Date: June 27, 2003

For: EVALUATION SUPPORT APPARATUS AND

METHOD FOR EVALUATION OF

RECYCLABILITY/ENVIRONMENTAL LOAD

Group Art Unit: 2863 Examiner: Sun, Xiuqin

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Provisional Election

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) DOCKET NO: 2396TTUS2SRD

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

NAOHIKO OYASATO, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: SUN, XIUQIN

SERIAL NO: 10/607,000

:

FILED: JUNE 27, 2003

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2863

FOR: EVALUATION SUPPORT APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR

EVALUATION OF

RECYCLABILITY/ENVIRONMENTAL

LOAD

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated December 28, 2006, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse species I of a design support apparatus which supports a design of a product, best illustrated by the specification on page 4, lines 5-20, for further examination on the merits. Applicants identify Claims 2-15 as readable on the elected species. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected species.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct species, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions. Application No. 10/607,000

Reply to Office Action of December 28, 2006

Although the outstanding Official Action does not identify search classifications, it is

believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of

sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may

be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional

effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Election Requirement on the

grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious

burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and

maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be

withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-38 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MATER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850
Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

2